



Nick Zilka
<nzilka@nidlink.com>

To: Marykay Voytilla/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

11/09/2001 12:52 PM

cc:
Subject: Re: Draft Responsiveness Summary

I have reviewed the comments and related responses and feel the responses are adequate. Thanks to all who prepared the responses; it was a big effort.

Voytilla.Marykay@epamail.epa.gov wrote:

> Hi folks,
> Attached is the draft Responsiveness Summary for the Bunker Hill
> Mine Water project for your review and comment. I would like your
> comments by Friday, November 16. This time frame should give Jim
> Stefanoff and I a chance to revise the document before I go off to start
> my new job.
>
> Kelly, Patty, Ben and Adrianne - Could you please take a look especially
> at the following comments which have a TMDL/water program element to
> them: 1.7, 1.10, 1.12, 1.13, 1.14, 1.15, 1.17, 1.18, 1.20, 1.29, 5.1,
> 5.2, 5.7, 5.8, and 9.2.
>
> Scott - Please review comments 10.1 and 10.2 as we discussed this
> morning and let me know if you want me to make any changes or additions.
>
> Chuck and Rob - Please review especially comment 1.2, and all of the
> comments in section 7.0. Section 7.0 includes comments about EPA/State
> relationship with the mine owner. I have incorporated some of Ian's
> comments on the ROD Amendment here.
>
> Steve Hughes - I'd appreciate your review of this entire document to
> insure that there are no inconsistencies between Basin and Box on
> similar work.
>
> ----- Forwarded by Marykay Voytilla/R10/USEPA/US on 11/05/2001 04:21 PM
> -----
>
> "Stefanoff,
> Jim/SPK" To: Marykay
> Voytilla/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
> <jstefano@ch2 cc:
> m.com> Subject: Draft Responsiveness
> Summary
>
> 11/05/2001
> 03:41 PM
>
>
>
> Hi Mary Kay, here is the draft Responsiveness Summary.
> Jim
> <<ResponsivessSummary.PDF>>
> (See attached file: ResponsivessSummary.PDF)
>
> -----
>
> ResponsivessSummary.PDF Name: ResponsivessSummary.PDF
> (application/pdf) Type: Portable Document Format



>

Encoding: base64